

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
HARRISONBURG DIVISION

NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE)
COUNCIL, INC. and SIERRA CLUB,)
)
Plaintiffs,)
)
v.)
)
E.I. du PONT de NEMOURS AND)
COMPANY,)
)
Defendant,)
)
_____)

Civ. Action No.
5:05-cv-30013

CONSENT DECREE

PARTIES

1. The Parties to this Consent Decree are Plaintiffs Sierra Club (Sierra Club), The Virginia Chapter of the Sierra Club (“Sierra Club”), the Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc. (“NRDC”) and Defendant E.I. du Pont de Nemours and Company (“DuPont”).

2. The Sierra Club is a non-profit, member-supported, public interest organization, with its principal office located at 85 Second St., 2nd Floor, San Francisco, CA 94105-3441. The Virginia Chapter of the Sierra Club is a non-profit, member-supported, public interest organization, with its principal office located at 6 N. 6th Street,

#102, Richmond, VA 23219. In this Decree Sierra Club and the Virginia Chapter of the Sierra Club shall be referred to collectively as “Sierra Club”.

3. The Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc. (“NRDC”) is a non-profit New York corporation with its principal office located at 40 W. 20th St., New York, NY 10011.

4. E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company (“DuPont”) is a Delaware corporation with its principal office located at 1007 Market St., Wilmington, DE 19898.

BACKGROUND

5. The purpose of this Consent Decree is to approve the settlement terms described in this Decree and provide for enforcement of those terms. This is a private party settlement, not a settlement with the United States of America or the Commonwealth of Virginia or their respective agencies. The terms of this Consent Decree are not binding on the United States of America or the Commonwealth of Virginia or their respective agencies. Neither the United States nor the Commonwealth of Virginia participated in the negotiations pertaining to this settlement.

6. This matter arises as a result of mercury discharges to the South River in Virginia between the years 1929 and 1950 when DuPont operated an acetate fiber manufacturing facility in Waynesboro, Virginia (the “Waynesboro Facility”). The acetate manufacturing operations were discontinued in 1950. On April 30, 2004, DuPont sold the Waynesboro Facility to another firm.

7. In the 1970s DuPont and other entities studied mercury releases to the South River. In 1977 the Virginia Department of Health issued a “fish consumption ban” covering portions of the South River and the South Fork of the Shenandoah River. In

1979 the ban was replaced with a “fish consumption advisory.” A “fish consumption advisory” due to mercury is presently in effect for the South River and the South Fork of the Shenandoah River.

8. In 1984 the Commonwealth of Virginia entered into a settlement with DuPont regarding mercury in the South River and South Fork of the Shenandoah River. The Release from that settlement is attached as Exhibit A.

9. The Commonwealth released DuPont from various liabilities. DuPont paid a sum to the Commonwealth and created a trust to be managed by the Treasurer of the Commonwealth to be used to monitor the South River and the South Fork of the Shenandoah River. Since that time the rivers and fish in the rivers have been monitored for mercury. Studies have revealed that in some fish and in some parts of the South River and the South Fork of the Shenandoah River mercury levels in fish are not declining as expected.

10. In 2000 the South River Science Team was established to determine why the levels of mercury in some fish in the South River and South Fork of the Shenandoah River were not declining as expected and what could be done to improve the situation. The South River Science Team is an ongoing collaborative effort by DuPont, the US Environmental Protection Agency, the VA Department of Environmental Quality, the VA Department of Health, the VA Department of Game & Inland Fisheries, various universities, local citizens groups, a panel of mercury science experts and other entities.

11. The South River Science Team meets regularly under the guidance of the VA Department of Environmental Quality. Among other activities, the South River Science Team studies fish tissue, the water column, sediment and floodplains, conducts

bioavailability studies, develops conceptual models of soil and water, conducts crop studies and statistical analyses. The South River Science Team communicates with the public through its citizen group membership, a newsletter and the news media.

12. On October 20, 2003, Sierra Club and NRDC (Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc.) delivered to E.I. du Pont de Nemours and Company a Notice of Intent to Sue Under Section 7002(a)(1)(B) of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, 42 U.S.C. § 6972(a)(1)(B). The Notice of Intent is attached as Exhibit B. The Notice alleged that mercury levels in fish were too high and that mercury presents an imminent and substantial endangerment to health and the environment along the South River and the South Fork of the Shenandoah River.

13. The Notice indicated, among other things, that Sierra Club and NRDC would file suit and ask this Court to order DuPont to fund (1) scientific studies of the endangerment and how to eliminate it and (2) fund abatement measures based on the studies. The Parties engaged in settlement discussions subject to Federal Rule of Evidence 408 and have reached a settlement in accord with the terms of this Decree.

14. Pursuant to the settlement Plaintiffs have filed a Complaint with this Court asserting claims that are the same as, or elaboration of, the claims contained in the Notice of Intent to Sue letter of October 20, 2003, from NRDC and Sierra Club to DuPont. The Complaint is attached as Exhibit C. The Parties have filed a joint Motion for entry of this Consent Decree approving the settlement described in this Decree. DuPont need not file an Answer, Motion or other pleading to the Complaint unless or until 20 days after the date the Court expressly declines to enter this Consent Decree. DuPont preserves its right, if necessary, to contest all factual allegations and legal contentions.

15. Notice of this Complaint, the Motion and Consent Decree have been given to the US Dept. of Justice, US Environmental Protection Agency, US Fish & Wildlife Service, VA Office of Attorney General, VA Dept. of Environmental Quality and the South River Science Team.

16. The Parties recognize, and the Court finds, that this Consent Decree has been negotiated in good faith, that implementation of this Consent Decree will avoid prolonged and complicated litigation between the Parties, and that this Consent Decree is fair, reasonable and in the public interest.

NOW THEREFORE, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

17. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action, and over the Parties hereto, pursuant to Section 7002(a)(1)(b) of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act ("RCRA"), 42 U.S.C. § 6972(a)(1)(B). Venue is proper in this District pursuant to Section 7002(a)(1)(B) of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 6972(a)(1)(B), and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1395(a).

18. The Court retains jurisdiction to enforce the terms of this Consent Decree. Any action arising out of these matters will be filed and prosecuted exclusively in the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Virginia for purposes of enforcing the terms of this Consent Decree and all matters incidental.

NO ADMISSION OF LIABILITY

19. By entering into this settlement and Consent Decree and by taking any action under this settlement and Consent Decree DuPont does not admit or concede in this matter (or in any other matter, location or circumstance) liability, damages, endangerment or harm to human health and the environment. DuPont preserves its right to contest all factual allegations and legal contentions.

20. Neither the execution of this Consent Decree nor any action taken hereunder is an admission by DuPont of any fact, liability or wrongdoing of any kind regarding any of the matters alleged in the Complaint or addressed in this Consent Decree. Entry of this Consent Decree does not constitute an admission, or determination, that the presence of mercury contamination in or near the South River and the South Fork of the Shenandoah River constitutes an imminent or substantial endangerment to health or the environment.

NOTICE AND COMMENT

21. This Consent Decree has been lodged with the Court and simultaneously provided (along with the Complaint) by certified mail return receipt requested to the US Dept. of Justice, US Environmental Protection Agency, US Fish & Wildlife Service, VA Office of Attorney General, VA Dept. of Environmental Quality and the South River Science Team for their review and comment for a period of up to 45 days after lodging. Service upon the South River Science Team is sufficiently accomplished by serving Don Kain, Water Monitoring and Compliance Manager, DEQ Valley Regional Office, P.O. Box 3000, Harrisonburg, VA 22801.

22. The approval and entry of this Consent Decree takes into consideration timely comments, if any, received from the US Dept. of Justice, US Environmental Protection Agency, US Fish & Wildlife Service, VA Office of Attorney General, VA Dept. of Environmental Quality and the South River Science Team. The Parties may withdraw or withhold their consent on the basis of comments or demands, if any, submitted to the Court.

THE SETTLEMENT TERMS

23. The Parties have entered into a settlement. The terms of the settlement are described in this Decree.

24. The settlement and Consent Decree require DuPont to perform an Ecological Study. The requirements of the Ecological Study are described in a document titled "Description of Ecological Study" attached as Exhibit D.

25. The settlement and Consent Decree also require DuPont to perform a Fish Consumption Survey and Health Advisories. The requirements of the Fish Consumption Survey and Health Advisories are described in a document titled "Description of Fish Consumption Survey and Health Advisories" attached as Exhibit E.

26. The texts of Exhibit D and Exhibit E are incorporated and merged into this Decree and describe the requirements of the Ecological Study, the Fish Consumption Survey and the Health Advisories under this settlement. Exhibit D and Exhibit E constitute the entire agreement of the Parties with respect to the subject matter thereof and supersede all prior writings or oral statements between them related thereto.

27. This Consent Decree shall not impair the ability of the US EPA, the VA DEQ, South River Science Team or its members to perform their work or take any action

they believe to be necessary regarding mercury or any other pollutant, contaminant, hazardous waste, hazardous substance and/or solid waste in the South River and the South Fork of the Shenandoah River. DuPont will confer with the South River Science Team, the US EPA, the VA DEQ and the US Fish & Wildlife Service about the Ecological Study (including the written program management plan). NRDC and Sierra Club may participate in these conferences if they wish. The participation by the US EPA, the VA DEQ and the US Fish & Wildlife Service in any such conference shall not be considered as a waiver or release of any claims the United States, the Commonwealth of Virginia, or their respective agencies may have regarding DuPont's Waynesboro Facility.

THE ECOLOGICAL STUDY

28. The Ecological Study will commence 30 days after approval and entry of this Consent Decree. The Ecological Study will be conducted in two phases. DuPont shall complete the Ecological Study in six years. The six-year period may be adjusted by consent of the Parties in the event of additional work negotiated with NRDC and Sierra Club or force majeure events such as major drought, flood, extensive refusal to issue necessary permits by government agencies or extensive refusal to permit necessary entry by private landowners. The Party requesting a time adjustment due to force majeure events shall have the burden of proving that any delay in performing the Ecological Study, Fish Consumption Survey or Health Advisories was caused by circumstances beyond its control that could not have been overcome by reasonable diligence. The Ecological Study will be considered complete when DuPont delivers the final report of the Ecological Study to NRDC.

THE FISH CONSUMPTION SURVEY

29. DuPont shall complete the Fish Consumption Survey within one year after completion of the next regularly scheduled fish tissue sampling by the Commonwealth of Virginia. The Fish Consumption Survey will be considered complete when DuPont delivers the report of the Fish Consumption Survey to NRDC.

THE HEALTH ADVISORIES

30. DuPont shall complete the actions required of it with respect to the Health Advisories (public service message on Spanish language radio; Spanish language information sheet; enhanced fish advisory signs in Study area) by December 31, 2005. The Health Advisories will be considered complete when (a) the Spanish language information sheet concerning local fish advisories has been developed and provided free of cost to physicians in the local area who serve the Spanish speaking community and (b) DuPont has submitted proposals to the VA Dept. of Health and the VA Dept. of Game & Inland Fisheries in order to cause those agencies to provide signs that are more available, more frequent, translated into Spanish, and that face the River.

CONSULTATION

31. The Parties' experts will privately meet and consult during the Ecological Study. There will be an initial meeting before commencement of the Ecological Study. A written program management plan will be developed describing how the studies will be conducted, scheduled, and integrated to answer the research questions. There will be subsequent meetings at critical points of scientific decision, including one meeting every six months, unless the Parties agree it is not necessary.

32. The purpose of the consultations is to help complete the Ecological Study and the Fish Survey in a manner that is consistent with the Ecological Study Plan and the Fish Consumption Survey Plan. The experts shall agree upon the details and manner in which each consultation will be conducted (date, time, place, facility, meeting services, etc.).

33. It is anticipated that Party consultations will be conducted between (a) DuPont, (b) the outside Study Project Manager (referred to in Exhibit D) and (c) the expert representative for NRDC and Sierra Club. DuPont shall have the right to invite a representative of the South River Science Team to participate in the consultations. Legal representatives of the Parties are not required to participate in the consultations, but they may attend if they desire.

34. If NRDC and Sierra Club believe that a material aspect of the Ecological Study or the Fish Consumption Survey is being performed, or omitted, in a manner that is not consistent with the agreed upon Ecological Study Plan or the Fish Consumption Survey Plan, then NRDC and Sierra Club shall express that opinion to DuPont and make reasonable efforts to discuss, negotiate and resolve the difference with DuPont.

35. Requests, if any, under the force majeure provision of paragraph 28 to adjust the time for completing the Ecological Study, Fish Survey or Health Advisories shall be subject to the consultation process. If a Party believes a time adjustment is necessary due to a force majeure event, then that Party shall give the other Parties written notice of the request within 90 (ninety) days after the requesting Party learns of a delay or anticipated delay. The requesting Party shall provide notice to the other Parties of the cause and anticipated length of the delay, the steps taken to prevent or minimize the

delay, a proposed timetable for remedying the delay, and why the requesting Party believes the delay was due to a force majeure event.

36. If the dispute is not resolved to the satisfaction of a Party, then that Party may file a motion with the Court to resolve the dispute. Before filing a motion with the Court the complaining Party shall give the non-complaining Party 15 days (not including Saturdays, Sundays, and dates this Court is closed) written notice and a complete description of the nature of the complaint and the action sought.

FEES AND COSTS

37. DuPont will pay \$110,000.00 (one hundred and ten thousand dollars) to NRDC and Sierra Club for past and current legal and expert fees and costs in this matter. The check shall be payable to NRDC and delivered to NRDC when 30 days has passed after the Court enters this Consent Decree. This sum shall be the sole and exclusive payment by DuPont of all fees and costs incurred up to the date of this settlement.

38. Within 30 days after entry of this Consent Decree DuPont will make a \$40,000.00 (forty thousand dollar) advance cost payment to NRDC and Sierra Club for the first year of future legal and expert fees and costs in this matter. DuPont will make similar annual advance cost payments for future legal and expert fees and costs in this matter in the same amount and in the same manner during the years of the Ecological Study, and a similar advance payment for each additional year negotiated or ordered for the Ecological Study. These annual advance cost payments shall be DuPont's exclusive liability for legal and expert fees and costs incurred by NRDC and Sierra Club, or their representatives, in connection with consultation, negotiation and administration of the

Ecological Study, the Fish Consumption Survey and the Health Advisories during the particular year covered by the advance cost payment.

39. The Court shall not order DuPont to pay any additional sums for legal and expert fees and costs predating this settlement or in connection with consultation, negotiation and administration of the Ecological Study, the Fish Consumption Survey and the Health Advisories. NRDC shall be responsible for the distribution of fees and costs as between NRDC and Sierra Club.

40. After entry of this Consent Decree if the Parties engage in motion practice or trial before the Court with respect to enforcement of this Consent Decree or if a remediation action is filed under paragraphs 51-54, then the Court shall follow applicable statutes and court rules regarding an award, if any, of fees and costs. The Court (consistent with paragraph 40 above) shall not order DuPont to pay any additional sums for legal and expert fees and costs predating this settlement or in connection with consultation, negotiation and administration of the Ecological Study, the Fish Consumption Survey and the Health Advisories.

EFFECT OF SETTLEMENT AND RELEASE OF CLAIMS

41. It is Decreed that DuPont is released by the Parties for past, present and future legal claims: (a) that were asserted in the October 20, 2003, letter of Nancy S. Marks of NRDC to Charles Holliday, Jr. of DuPont (Exhibit B) and (b) that are raised or could have been raised in the Complaint (Exhibit C), related to performance of studies of mercury, metals, pesticides and PAH's in the ecosystem of the South River and South Fork of the Shenandoah River. These are the "Released Claims." The Parties do not release DuPont for remediation of the ecosystem of the South River and South Fork of

the Shenandoah River. It is Decreed that this is a full and final settlement of all “Released Claims.”

42. The Release is given by Plaintiffs, their directors, officers, shareholders, parent corporations, subsidiaries, predecessors, successors, assigns, chapters, employees and agents to Defendant, its parent corporations, subsidiaries, predecessors, or successors and their respective agents, directors, officers, shareholders and employees. The “Released Claims” includes any and all claims, causes of action, suits or demands past, present or future, known or unknown regarding the “Released Claims.”

REMEDICATION NEGOTIATION

43. If DuPont believes that no remediation is necessary after completion of the Ecological Study, then DuPont will notify NRDC in writing of that fact within 30 days of the completion of the Ecological Study.

44. If DuPont believes that remediation is necessary after completion of the Ecological Study, then within one year after completion of the Ecological Study DuPont will present NRDC with its proposal for a voluntary program of remediation that is safe, effective and reasonably necessary to address ecological impacts, human health impacts or the alleged imminent and substantial endangerment to human health or the environment caused by mercury contamination in the Ecological Study area.

45. NRDC and Sierra Club will consider the DuPont proposal and notify DuPont whether the proposal is acceptable. If NRDC and Sierra Club give notice to DuPont that the proposal is not acceptable in whole or part, then the Parties shall immediately begin negotiating what remedial action different from DuPont’s plan, if any, is necessary based upon available evidence, including the completed Ecological Study.

46. The Parties shall seek to resolve their differences through negotiation on remediation, just as they have done on the Study and Survey. The negotiations will be subject to an Agreement to Negotiate in Confidence and Federal Rule of Evidence 408.

47. DuPont will provide copies of the notices under paragraphs 43-45 to the South River Science Team, the US EPA, the VA DEQ and the US Fish & Wildlife Service. DuPont will confer about the remediation alternatives with the South River Science Team, the US EPA, the VA DEQ and the US Fish & Wildlife Service. NRDC and Sierra Club may participate in these conferences if they wish. The Parties shall have the right to invite the US Dept. of Justice, the US Environmental Protection Agency, the US Fish & Wildlife Service, the VA Office of Attorney General, the VA Dept. of Environmental Quality and the South River Science Team to participate in the negotiations regarding remediation. The participation by the US EPA, the VA DEQ and the US Fish & Wildlife Service in any such conference shall not be considered as a waiver or release of any claims the United States, the Commonwealth of Virginia, or their respective agencies may have regarding DuPont's Waynesboro Facility.

AGREEMENT ON REMEDIATION

48. If the Parties reach an agreement on remediation, then the Parties shall prepare an additional settlement and Consent Decree that defines the terms of the settlement, release and Decree on remediation. The Parties will provide 45 days' notice of such a proposed Consent Decree to the US Dept. of Justice, the US Environmental Protection Agency, the US Fish & Wildlife Service, the VA Office of Attorney General, the VA Dept. of Environmental Quality and the South River Science Team.

49. The Parties will file a joint Motion to modify the original Consent Decree to incorporate the terms of the remediation settlement and Consent Decree. The US Dept. of Justice, the US Environmental Protection Agency, the US Fish & Wildlife Service, the VA Office of Attorney General, the VA Dept. of Environmental Quality and the South River Science Team shall be given 45 days' notice and opportunity to be heard and assent, or object, in any Court proceedings regarding approval of the proposed additional settlement on remediation.

50. The Court will retain jurisdiction to enforce the remediation settlement and Consent Decree until completion and termination as defined under the remediation settlement and Consent Decree.

ACTION FOR REMEDIATION UNDER SECTION 7002 (a)(1)(B) OF RCRA

51. If the Parties do not reach an agreement on remediation, then the Parties shall file a joint Motion for termination of this Consent Decree and to mark this case dismissed, preserving the right of NRDC and Sierra Club to proceed with a new action as described below under section 7002 (a)(1)(B) of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act ("RCRA").

52. Upon dismissal of the prior action NRDC and Sierra Club shall give 90 days' notice to DuPont of Intent to Sue Under Section 7002 (a)(1)(B) of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act ("RCRA"), 42 USC 6972 (a)(1)(B). The 90 days' notice of Intent to Sue shall be deemed to be a new notice of Intent to Sue Under Section 7002 (a)(1)(B) of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act ("RCRA"), 42 USC 6972 (a)(1)(B). During the 90-day notice period, state and federal governmental entities, such as the US Dept. of Justice, the US Environmental Protection Agency, the VA Office of

Attorney General or the VA Dept. of Environmental Quality, may assert their jurisdiction over the matter as if it were an original Notice of Intent to Sue by NRDC and Sierra Club to DuPont. All defenses shall be preserved to DuPont, including without limitation, the diligent prosecution defense and the primary jurisdiction defense.

53. Thereafter, NRDC and Sierra Club may file their Complaint, which shall be a new Complaint commencing a new action. DuPont shall file pleadings and motions in response.

54. The Parties agree and stipulate that the statute of limitations applicable to such a new Complaint and action filed under the provisions of this settlement and Consent Decree shall be tolled as of October 20, 2003, only for legal and factual claims for remediation enumerated in the October 20, 2003, letter of Nancy S. Marks of NRDC to Charles Holliday, Jr. of DuPont (Exhibit B) and the tolling shall last until six months following termination of this Consent Decree.

BINDING EFFECT

55. The provisions of this Consent Decree shall apply to, and be binding upon the Parties and their officers, directors, employees, agents, servants, successors, predecessors, parents, subsidiaries, chapters and assigns. No person or entity other than the Parties shall have authority to enforce the terms of this Consent Decree.

SERVICE OF NOTICES

56. Notices with respect to matters relating to or arising under this Consent Decree shall be delivered in writing to Nancy S. Marks, Esq. for NRDC/Sierra Club, Ross F. Schmucki, Corporate Counsel, for DuPont and Eugene E. Mathews III, Esq. for

DuPont (or their respective designated successors). Service of notices of intent to sue, motions, legal process, pleadings and all notices under paragraphs 14, 15, 18, 21-30, 34-40, 43-54, and 60-62 shall be in writing and served in accord with the Rules of this Court.

57. With respect to consultation described in paragraphs 31-33 of this Decree (in addition to Nancy S. Marks, Ross F. Schmucki and Eugene E. Matthews, III, or their designated successors) notices shall be delivered to Robert Livingston for NRDC/Sierra Club, Mike Liberati for DuPont, Ralph Stahl for DuPont, and Ralph Turner as the Study Manager (or their respective designated successors). For such consultation notices Robert Livingston, Mike Liberati, Ralph Stahl and Ralph Turner (and their designated successors) may direct that they receive written notices by paper mail, by fax, by email or any combination. In the absence of a clear directive, traditional paper mail shall be the default method.

58. It is recommended that a simultaneous courtesy phone message be left for the fax or e-mail recipient advising of the delivery in case the recipient is on vacation or not accessing fax or e-mail. A fax or e-mail notice shall be deemed delivered on the date and time indicated in the header of the recipient's fax or e-mail. If a fax or e-mail notice is received (1) after 5pm or before 9am, or (2) on a Saturday or Sunday, or (3) on a date this Court is closed, then the notice shall be deemed delivered at 9am on the next weekday this Court is open for business.

59. In the event of a change of designation of the person (or address) for receipt of notices, the Party making the change shall give timely written notice of that change.

CURRENT ADDRESSES

Nancy S. Marks, Esq.
Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc.
(NRDC)
40 West 20th St.
New York, NY 10011
212-727-4414 phone
212-727-1773 fax
nmarks@nrdc.org

Professor Robert J. Livingston
Department of Biological Science
Florida State University
Tallahassee, Florida 32306
850-644-1466 phone
850-644-9829 fax
livingst@bio.fsu.edu

Ross F. Schmucki, Corporate Counsel
DuPont Legal, Rm. D-4044-1
1007 Market St.
Wilmington, DE 19898
302-774-5330 phone
302-773-5952 fax
ross.f.schmucki@usa.dupont.com

Eugene E. Mathews III, Esq.
McGuireWoods
One James Center
901 East Cary St.
Richmond, VA 23219-4030
804-775-1313 phone
804-775-1061 fax
mmathews@mcguirewoods.com

Michael R. Liberati
DuPont Corporate Remediation Group
Barley Mill Plaza Bldg. 27, Room 2282
Lancaster Pike & Rt. 141
Wilmington, DE 19805
302-892-7421
302-992-4869 fax
michael.r.liberati@usa.dupont.com

Ralph G. Stahl, Jr.
DuPont Corporate Remediation Group
Barley Mill Plaza Bldg. 27, Room 2232
Lancaster Pike & Rt. 141
Wilmington, DE 19805
302-892-1369
302-892-7641 fax
ralph.g.stahl@usa.dupont.com

Ralph R. Turner
RT Geosciences Inc.
P.O. Box 421
Squamish,
British Columbia V0N 3G0
604-815-8219 phone
604-892-5888 fax
rrtgeo@direct.ca

MODIFICATION

60. This Consent Decree contains the entire agreement of the Parties and shall not be modified by any prior oral or written agreement, representation or understanding.

Prior drafts of the Consent Decree shall not be used in any action involving the interpretation or enforcement of this Consent Decree.

61. If all the Parties agree to modification of this Consent Decree in writing, they may apply to the Court for approval thereof. If the Parties do not reach agreement on the modification, a Party may seek modification of this Consent Decree under Rule 60(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

TERMINATION

62. DuPont may, with notice to Plaintiffs pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Civil Rules for the Western District of Virginia, move to terminate this Consent Decree. The Motion for Termination must contain a certification that the Ecological Study, the Fish Consumption Survey and the Health Advisories are complete, there are no disputed payment claims hereunder and Plaintiffs have not proceeded with the action for remediation as described in paragraphs 51-54 above. In the event of Termination of this Decree the provisions regarding Release shall survive.

JOINT AUTHORSHIP

63. In the event of a dispute under this Settlement or the Consent Decree the Parties shall be considered joint authors of the Settlement and Consent Decree and no provision shall be interpreted against any Party because of authorship.

SIGNATORIES

64. The undersigned representatives of the Plaintiffs and DuPont each certifies that he or she is authorized to enter into the terms and conditions of this Consent Decree and to execute and bind legally such Party to this document.

THE UNDERSIGNED Parties hereby consent to the entry of this Consent Decree:

Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc.

By: Nancy S Manks

Title & Date: Senior Attorney, June 21, 2005

Sierra Club (and Virginia Chapter)

By: Michael Joun

Title & Date: Director, Virginia Chapter June 20, 2005

E.I. du Pont de Nemours and Company

By: A. D. Bedsole

Title & Date: Director v Corporate Remediation June 27, 2005

APPROVED AND ENTERED this _____ day of _____, 2005.

United States District Judge