Water-Management Modeling in a Karst Environment

Thomas Burbey









Motivation for Investigation

- Concern of local farmers and residences that pumping will impact their wells
- FCSA wanted to know how much they could pump without drastically impacting water levels in the quarries during periods of drought
- Potential for sinkhole development



•Municipal pumping of nearly 2 million gpd from South quarry created an elongated cone of depression.

Maximum drawdown occurred near end of 2001 and coincided with 5-year drought from 1997-2001.

Illustration courtesy of ATS Int. Inc.

Modeling Objectives

- Evaluate effects of municipal pumping from quarries based on current recharge estimates and local inflows
- Estimate a monthly recharge flux
- Provide a water-management model for predicting water levels based on pumping trends and climatic cycles.

Regional Conceptual Model

•One layer (ave. thickness 400 ft)

•Cell size 150 ft

•K, S_y, Aniso Zones based on Geology

•Monthly stress periods to reflect changes in recharge and pumping



Modeling Approach

- Calibrate model using
 - Monthly head measurements as weighted observations from Jan-Aug, 2003
 - Streamflow measurements and estimation of monthly baseflow as observations
 - Hydraulic conductivity, anisotropy, streambed leakance, GHB conductance, monthly recharge, and specific yield as parameters to be estimated using PE
 - Planned aquifer test from North Quarry involved pumping 3 million gpd for 90 days beginning June 1, 2003







Regional Model Findings

- Regional fluctuations in head largely due to variations in precipitation
- Sensitivity of hydraulic conductivity and storage values generally low due to lack of sufficient stress on system and need for more detail
- Concluded that historic model needed
 - Drought period from 1996-2001
 - Large observed drawdown in quarries
 - Summary statistics and sensitivities indicate that more information was needed in vicinity of quarries
 - Need more geologic detail
 - Need finer grid spacing



Evaluation of Recharge

- Estimated from Fourier Series of Evaporation and Transpiration Functions on basis of monthly changes in factors affecting these parameters
- Inverse parameter estimation
- Rorabaugh method using streamflow discharge



Why a New Model Grid?

 Statistics and sensitivities suggest that more geologic detail is needed

•Grid size reduced to 100 ft, 2 layers

Initial model
indicates area west
of Stonehenge Fm. Is
of very low
conductivity and does
not contribute to
area of interest

•Model helped to determine where more data are needed



Simulated Heads August 2003

•Culmination of monthly stress periods from January-August

 Recovery of quarries through May

•Pumping of north quarry from June-August

811.887 797,697 783,508 769,319 755.130 740,940 726,751 712,562 698,373 684,183 669,991





Study Area Wells--All times



Local Model

- Covers period from January-August, 2003
- More data available for "fine-tuning" parameters
- Difficult to obtain an initial-head condition for model because of the dynamic nature of heads and dependency on karstic system

Conclusions

- Pumping from quarries creates drawdowns that are localized and extending primarily southward with recharge occurring locally and from the north.
 - Little or no drawdown occurs west of the quarries and limited drawdown occurs to the north
- Fluctuations in water levels in study area largely due to weather conditions and quantity of natural recharge
 - ET is large and can exceed natural recharge during summer months
- Karst conditions make it difficult to simulate "measured" values using a PMM